

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 1:15-cr-00090
)	
v.)	Honorable Gordon J. Quist
)	
NATHAN DESHAWN BENSON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on August 24, 2015, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Nathan DeShawn Benson entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 6 and 7 of the Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with conspiracy to commit bank robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 and 2113(a). In Count 6 of the Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with armed bank robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a), (d). In Count 7 of the Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with brandishing a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, that is, bank robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(ii). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from

any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1, 6 and 7 of the Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: August 24, 2015

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
ELLEN S. CARMODY
United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to de novo review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).